

The Great Upgrade

An academic teaching edition

Where human potential has been trapped the longest,
The Great Upgrade should arrive first.

Ranfery Sánchez | September 2026

How to read this edition

This edition explains a working civic framework. The six proposals are designs for review and testing. Their inclusion does not mean they have been legally authorized, piloted or proven effective.

The idea in one sentence

Where human potential has been trapped the longest, The Great Upgrade should arrive first. This priority rule asks where old arrangements repeatedly block health, safety, learning, mobility or a decent livelihood, and where a feasible change could release the most opportunity.

The basic method

Describe the result the present system produces. Publish a specific alternative and at least one credible rival. Ask who benefits and who bears costs. Invite a dissenter to state the strongest objection. Check law, money, safety and evidence. Test a limited version, measure outcomes and revise or stop.

Who has a voice

Residents, universities, researchers, governments, legislators, businesses, labor organizations and civil society can all contribute. Their responses are shown in separate panels. A senator speaks for a legislature only after the legislature has acted. Every institutional response states who approved it, what authority it carries and which version it reviewed.

A proposal can lose

A fair comparison includes the current system, the Great Upgrade design, and credible alternatives. A person can also say that the evidence is insufficient. Old votes stay visible when a proposal changes, but current support must be calculated from responses to the current version.

Six working proposals

001 examines substance policy and public health; 002 compares elevated active mobility with safer routes at street level; 003 organizes neighborhood repair and maintenance as Evolucracia; 004 designs a protected city infrastructure fund; 005 examines a temporary international infrastructure workforce partnership; 006 tests accountable southbound weapons inspection. All six require further legal, financial and operational work before any jurisdiction can implement them.

What counts as progress

A concept advances to a working proposal, then a legally authorized pilot with a budget, safety limits and an independent evaluator. Only a demonstrated result can justify replication. A ten year horizon organizes the work; it guarantees no proposal will reach national scale.

1 A framework for classroom analysis

The Great Upgrade is a comparative framework for public problem solving, not a single policy theory. Its unit of analysis is a system producing persistent outcomes. Its evaluative dimensions include human capability, ecological effects, distribution, implementation, institutional legitimacy and capacity for revision. Students should distinguish its normative priority rule from empirical claims about whether any proposed intervention succeeds.

2 A causal map

For each proposal, specify actors, incentives, resources, legal constraints, behavior changes, outputs and final outcomes. Separate a mechanism from its hoped for result: more inspection may raise interdictions yet fail to reduce trafficking if routes shift. More repair crews may raise completed work yet fail to improve access if work avoids the least served blocks.

3 Competing hypotheses

The current system is a comparator rather than a straw figure. An alternative can outperform the Great Upgrade version on effectiveness, cost, rights or feasibility. Predefine admissibility rules before seeing who submitted the alternative. Preserve the dissenter case as a genuine rival account: which observation would weaken the favored explanation?

4 Representation and legitimacy

The Representation Matrix prevents a category mistake between population opinion, organizational position and specialist judgment. Its denominators expose nonresponse and selection. The academic problem is to determine which authority is relevant to which decision, when consultation changes a design, and whether visible coverage increases substantive legitimacy or merely creates a better documented selection bias.

5 Seminar exercise on Proposal 001

Compare stronger prohibition, decriminalization with health access, and a regulated medical supply pathway. For each architecture, identify the legal change, eligible population, clinical or enforcement mechanism, unintended consequences, feasible measures and ethical constraints. Keep separate the observed evidence from other jurisdictions, the causal extrapolation to a new setting, and the proposed operational variant. Do not infer that one substance or delivery channel has evidence merely because a different one does.

6 Evaluating an Evolucrancia pilot

Take an authorized repair area and define baseline access, incident frequency, condition and cost. Compare it with carefully selected areas receiving existing maintenance. Assess spillovers, weather and reporting changes. Audit labor composition and record maintenance at six, twelve and thirty six months. The outcome of interest is durable function for residents, not a count of painted surfaces.

7 Assignment and review rubric

Have teams write a two page pilot charter: baseline; target population; comparator; theory of change; authority; budget and lifecycle obligations; primary outcome; secondary harms; data protection; independent evaluator; stop rule. Another team serves as the dissenter and must present a stronger alternative with a testable prediction.

8 Research agenda

Questions include whether parallel reporting improves decision quality; how response rates affect apparent support; which version change requires fresh votes; how to avoid false precision with unknown organization universes; and what institutional design keeps evaluation independent of sponsors. Report null results and implementation failures as publishable findings.

Project sources and status

The Great Upgrade Pan American Master v4.0, September 2026; La Gran Actualización Documento Maestro Panamericano v3.2, September 2026; Proposal 001 Three Alternatives for Drug Policy, September 2026. These are project documents. Clinical, legal and fiscal decisions require independent, jurisdiction specific verification.